



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,738	11/21/2003	Yicheng Chang	E0523-00041	3545
8933	7590	10/05/2006	EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				PERVAN, MICHAEL
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2629		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,738	CHANG, YICHENG
	Examiner Michael Pervan	Art Unit 2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,12-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5,11 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dehmlow (US 6,552,735; as submitted by applicant) in view of Waterman (US 2005/0052394).

In regards to claim 1, Dehmlow discloses a method for compensating stressed pixels on a display device (col. 2, line 53-col.3 line 12), that includes:

receiving a video data input for displaying a video image frame at a first frequency (col. 2, lines 46-50; video data input (video image data) is received by the controller from both an external source and the processor. Since the information received is video data input (video image data), it is therefore for a displaying video image frame at a first frequency. In the case of Dehmlow, a first frequency is the only frequency);

displaying a primary sub-frame representing at least a part of the video image frame (col. 2, lines 54-55; the primary sub-frame (sampled image) is on the display already), the primary sub-frame having one or more predetermined stressed pixels whose brightness being expected to be compensated (col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 4; one

or more predetermined stressed pixels (pixels with luminance decay) have their brightness (luminance) compensated); and

displaying a secondary sub-frame having the predetermined stressed pixels thereon with predetermined compensating brightness (col. 3, lines 3-8; the predetermined stress pixels are compensated by adjusting the brightness (luminance) of each pixel),

Dehmlow does not disclose wherein the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed sequentially at a second frequency so that the separation of the two sub-frames is undetected by a viewer.

Waterman discloses wherein the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed sequentially at a second frequency so that the separation of the two sub-frames is undetected by a viewer (paragraph 36, lines 4-13).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Dehmlow with the teachings of Waterman, receiving video at a first frequency and displaying sub-frames at a second frequency such that the video displayed appears to be at the first frequency, by incorporating teachings of Waterman into the device of Dehmlow because it will ensure long term reliability and prevent degradation of the LCD (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

In regards to claims 2, 9 and 16, Dehmlow does not disclose the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed with the second frequency so that an effective display frequency is equivalent to the first frequency.

Waterman discloses the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed with the second frequency so that an effective display frequency is equivalent to the first frequency (paragraph 36, lines 9-13).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Dehmlow with the teachings of Waterman, receiving video at a first frequency and displaying sub-frames at a second frequency such that the video displayed appears to be at the first frequency, by incorporating teachings of Waterman into the device of Dehmlow because it will ensure long term reliability and prevent degradation of the LCD (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

In regards to claim 3, Dehmlow discloses detecting one or more pixels in the video image frame as the stressed pixels (col. 2, line 63-col. 3, line 3; the stressed pixel (lowest luminance value) is determined).

In regards to claims 4, 10 and 17, Dehmlow discloses determining compensating brightness for each of the stressed pixels (col. 3, lines 3-4; compensating brightness (luminance) is calculated and applied).

In regards to claims 6, 12 and 19, Dehmlow discloses the determining further comprises:

providing a database supplying accumulative pixel data for one or more stressed pixels (col. 2, lines 58-60; accumulative pixel data (history of pixel status) is provided in a database (memory)), the accumulative pixel data indicating at least an accumulative brightness of each pixel (col. 2, lines 60-65; accumulated pixel data (history of pixel

status) indicates accumulative brightness (luminance) as long as it is a function of use); and

comparing one or more pixels in the video image frame against the database to identify the stressed pixels (col. 2, lines 63-65; stressed pixels are identified by using the database (memory) which indicates accumulative brightness (luminance)).

In regards to claims 7 and 13, Dehmlow discloses accumulating pixel data in the database with regard to the identified stressed pixel based on the pixel data thereof for displaying the video image frame (col. 2, lines 58-65; pixel data (status) is accumulated in the database (memory) with regard to identified stressed pixels (luminance decay)).

In regards to claim 8, Dehmlow discloses a method for compensating stressed pixels on a light-emitting diode (LED) based display device (col. 2, line 53-col. 3 line 12 and col. 6, lines 22-30), that includes:

receiving a video data input for displaying a video image frame at a first frequency (col. 2, lines 46-50; video data input (video image data) is received by the controller from both an external source and the processor. Since the information received is video data input (video image data), it is therefore for a displaying video image frame at a first frequency. In the case of Dehmlow, a first frequency is the only frequency);

detecting one or more pixels in the video image frame as stressed pixels (col. 2, line 63-col. 3, line 3; the stressed pixel (lowest luminance value) is determined);

displaying a primary sub-frame representing at least a part of the video image frame (col. 2, lines 54-55; the primary sub-frame (sampled image) is on the display

already), the primary sub-frame having one or more stressed pixels with at least one of whose display parameters being degraded due to an accumulative usage of the LED display device (col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 4; one or more predetermined stressed pixels (pixels with luminance decay) have their brightness (luminance) degrades due to usage); and

displaying at least one secondary sub-frame complementing the primary sub-frame and having the detected stressed pixels thereon with the degraded display parameter compensated (col. 3, lines 3-8; the predetermined stress pixels are compensated by adjusting the brightness (luminance) of each pixel),

Dehmlow does not disclose wherein the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed sequentially at a second frequency so that a viewer perceives the video image frame being displayed without detecting the two sub-frames.

Waterman discloses wherein the primary and secondary sub-frames are displayed sequentially at a second frequency so that a viewer perceives the video image frame being displayed without detecting the two sub-frames (paragraph 36, lines 9-13).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Dehmlow with the teachings of Waterman, receiving video at a first frequency and displaying sub-frames at a second frequency such that the video displayed appears to be at the first frequency, by incorporating teachings of Waterman into the device of Dehmlow because it will ensure long term reliability and prevent degradation of the LCD (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

In regards to claim 14, Dehmlow discloses the degraded display parameter is a brightness level of the pixel (col. 2, lines 55-63; the degraded (decayed) display parameter is a brightness (luminance) level).

In regards to claim 15, Dehmlow discloses a system for compensating stressed pixels on a light-emitting diode (LED) based display device (col. 2, line 53-col. 3 line 12 and col. 6, lines 22-30), that includes:

means for receiving a video data input for displaying a video image frame at a first frequency (col. 2, lines 46-50; video data input (video image data) is received by the controller from both an external source and the processor. Since the information received is video data input (video image data), it is therefore for a displaying video image frame at a first frequency. In the case of Dehmlow, a first frequency is the only frequency)

means for processing information for one or more stressed pixels in the video image frame (col. 2, lines 46-52).

wherein the primary sub-frame has one or more stressed pixels with at least one of whose display parameters being degraded due to an accumulative usage of the LED display device (col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 4; one or more predetermined stressed pixels (pixels with luminance decay) have their brightness (luminance) degrades due to usage), and the secondary sub-frame has the detected stressed pixels thereon with the degraded display parameter compensated (col. 3, lines 3-8; the predetermined stress pixels are compensated by adjusting the brightness (luminance) of each pixel).

Dehmlow does not disclose means for displaying a primary sub-frame and at least one secondary sub-frame sequentially at a second frequency so that the secondary sub-frame is undetected by a viewer,

Waterman discloses means for displaying a primary sub-frame and at least one secondary sub-frame sequentially at a second frequency so that the secondary sub-frame is undetected by a viewer (paragraph 36, lines 9-13).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Dehmlow with the teachings of Waterman, receiving video at a first frequency and displaying sub-frames at a second frequency such that the video displayed appears to be at the first frequency, by incorporating teachings of Waterman into the device of Dehmlow because it will ensure long term reliability and prevent degradation of the LCD (paragraph 36, lines 12-13).

In regards to claim 20, Dehmlow discloses the means for processing is a video processor or controller with predetermined processing algorithms embedded therein (col. 2, lines 47-52; video controller (controller 18) receives image data and controls brightness of each pixel, therefore video controller has predetermined processing algorithms embedded therein for controlling the brightness of each pixel).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 11 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Examiner was unable to find a reference or combination of references that taught the limitations of claims 5, 11 and 18.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVP

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

